UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

1) ALEC HAYWARD-PREAUS)
Plaintiff,)
v. 2) SMART FUTURE XPRESS, INC. 3) AMANDEEP SINGH,) Case No. 24-cv-00009-MTS
Defendants.))

DEFENDANT SMART FUTURE XPRESS, INC.'S ANSWER

Defendant Smart Future Xpress, Inc. ("SFX"), and for its answer to Plaintiff Alec Hayward-Preaus' ("Plaintiff") First Amended Petition (the "Complaint"), states as follows:

- 1. SFX is without the knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
 - 2. SFX admits the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the Complaint.
 - 3. SFX admits the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Complaint.
 - 4. SFX denies the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Complaint.
 - 5. SFX adopts all responses to paragraphs 1-4 of the Complaint.
- 6. SFX admits Plaintiff became parked on the shoulder area of west-bound I-44 at approximately 10:15 p.m. on April 5, 2021 after the incident, but is without the knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them. SFX expressly denies that Plaintiff was already parked at the time of the incident as Plaintiff was still slowing down during impact.

- 7. SFX admits Singh was west-bound on I-44 and rear-ended Plaintiff but denies the remaining allegations within paragraph 7 of the Complaint.
- 8. SFX is without the knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
- 9. SFX admits Singh was cited under 47 O.S. § 11-901 by Trooper Rohr in citation number N229697.
- 10. SFX admits that it is a motor carrier operating under the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations but denies its USDOT number as written.
 - 11. SFX denies the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the Complaint.
 - 12. SFX adopts all responses to paragraphs 1-11 of the Complaint.
- 13. Paragraph 13 is a statement of law to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, SFX denies the allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the Complaint insofar as they do not accurately state Oklahoma law and SFX's lawful duties.
- 14. This paragraph is not directed to SFX, and, therefore, no response is necessary. To the extent one is, SFX denies the allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the Complaint.
- 15. This paragraph is not directed to SFX, and, therefore, no response is necessary. To the extent one is, SFX is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny what injuries Plaintiff allegedly has, and therefore denies the same. It further denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the Complaint.
 - 16. SFX denies the allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the Complaint.
- 17. SFX admits the allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the Complaint, but denies there was "a crash" as stated.
 - 18. SFX denies the allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the Complaint.

- 19. SFX admits the allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the Complaint.
- 20. SFX denies the allegations contained within paragraph 20 of the Complaint.
- 21. SFX denies the allegations contained within paragraph 21 of the Complaint insofar as they do not accurately state Oklahoma law and SFX's lawful duties.
 - 22. SFX denies the allegations contained within paragraph 22 of the Complaint.
- 23. SFX is without knowledge or information sufficient to admit or deny what injuries and damages Plaintiff allegedly has, and therefore denies the same. It further denies the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the Complaint.
- 24. This paragraph is a statement of law and a recitation of Plaintiff's claim to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, SFX denies the allegations contained in paragraph 24 of the Complaint.
- 25. SFX is without the knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 25 of the Complaint, and therefore denies them.
 - 26. SFX adopts all responses to paragraphs 1-25 of the Complaint.
- 27. SFX denies the allegations contained within paragraph 27 of the Complaint insofar as they do not accurately state Oklahoma law and Smart's lawful duties.
 - 28. SFX denies the allegations contained within paragraph 28 of the Complaint.
 - 29. SFX denies the allegations contained within paragraph 29 of the Complaint.
 - 30. SFX denies the allegations contained within paragraph 30 of the Complaint.
 - 31. SFX denies the allegations contained within paragraph 31 of the Complaint.
 - 32. SFX adopts all responses to paragraphs 1-31 of the Complaint.
 - 33. SFX denies the allegations contained in paragraph 33 of the Complaint.
 - 34. SFX denies the allegations contained in paragraph 34 of the Complaint.

- 35. SFX is without the knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny whether Plaintiff has a loss and therefore denies the same. SFX also denies the remaining allegations contained within paragraph 35 of the Complaint.
- 36. SFX is without the knowledge and information sufficient to admit or deny the allegations contained within paragraph 26 of the Complaint and therefore denies the same.
 - 37. SFX adopts all responses to paragraphs 1-36 of the Complaint.
 - 38. SFX denies the allegations contained in paragraph 38 of the Complaint.
 - 39. SFX denies the allegations contained in paragraph 39 of the Complaint.
- 40. SFX denies all allegations contained in the Complaint which are not specifically admitted or denied above.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- 1. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
- 2. Any alleged damages to Plaintiff, which SFX denies, are the result of the acts or omissions of Plaintiff or other third parties over whom SFX has no control and for whom it has no responsibility, and were not due to the actions of SFX.
 - 3. Plaintiff failed to mitigate any of his alleged damages.
- 4. In the event it is determined that negligence was committed by SFX, such negligence was a "mere condition" and was not the cause of Plaintiff's alleged injury and damages.
- 5. While denying any and all negligence, fault or liability, SFX states that any injury or damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiff were caused, in whole or in part, by other persons' or entities' contributory or comparative negligence, fault, and want of due care. Such negligence or fault of other persons or entities was greater than the negligence or fault of SFX, if any. The comparative fault of other persons or entities outside the control of SFX is a complete bar to

recovery against this Defendant. Alternatively, SFX is entitled to a reduction of damages based

upon the percentage of said other persons' or entities' negligence or fault.

6. To the extent Plaintiff has been or will be compensated by receiving payment from

other persons or entities, the amount of any such compensation should be offset against any

recovery Plaintiff may receive in this action.

7. Should a judgment be entered against SFX, SFX prays for determination and

apportionment of fault between SFX and any other persons and/or entities who may or may not be

parties at the time this case was submitted to the factfinder and who caused or contributed to cause

any injuries as claimed by Plaintiff in this action.

8. Plaintiff's claims for punitive damages are in violation of the Oklahoma and U.S.

Constitutions.

9. Plaintiff's Complaint, to the extent it seeks punitive damages, violates SFX's right

to equal protection under the law and is otherwise unconstitutional under the United States and the

Constitution of the State of Oklahoma.

WHEREFORE, having answered and set forth its affirmative defenses, Defendant Smart

Future Xpress, Inc. requests that judgment be entered in its favor and against Plaintiff on Plaintiff's

claims, and that Defendant be awarded all other relief to which it is entitled at law and in equity.

Respectfully submitted,

DOERNER, SAUNDERS, DANIEL

& ANDERSON, L.L.P.

s/Stuart D. Campbell

Stuart D. Campbell, OBA #11246

700 Williams Center Tower II

Two West Second Street

Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103-3522

Telephone 918-582-1211

Facsimile 918-591-5360 scampbell@dsda.com

Kaylee Davis-Maddy, OBA No. 31534 210 Park Avenue, Suite 1200 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Telephone (405) 319-3513 Facsimile (405) 319-3524 kmaddy@dsda.com

Attorneys for Defendants Smart Future Xpress, Inc. and Amandeep Singh

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 19, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was submitted to the Clerk of Court using the Court's ECF System for filing and for transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to all counsel of record in this case.

s/ Stuart D. Campbell